

It may be of interest to note that in the early 1950s scientific committees were set up in both Canada and the United States, under the defense auspices, to investigate UFO reports. Following several years of study, both committees were able to explain all but a very small percentage of the many thousands of sighting reports made. The American committee concluded its investigation by stating, "that the evidence presented on UFOs shows no indication that these phenomena constitute a direct physical threat to national security". Although not formally stated, the Canadian committee arrived at the same conclusions.

The increasing number of UFO reports being referred to official agencies is a clear indication that the general public is becoming more interested in unusual aerial objects which can be neither identified nor explained and are turning to governmental agencies for information. Recently, and probably due to the increasing concern of the general public, the United States Air Force has selected the University of Colorado, under the direction of Dr. E.O. Condon, physics professor, to conduct an independent investigation into UFOs. Many private citizens, many of whom are exceptionally well qualified, are either carrying out personal and independent research studies on UFOs, or have joined organizations established for this purpose. It would, therefore, seem advisable that a governmental scientific agency outside of DND be requested to undertake the investigation of UFOs.

Providing National Research Council would be prepared to accept the responsibility for investigating UFOs, this Headquarters would provide such assistance as may be mutually agreed with the understanding that DND would be kept advised on matters of significant importance which would suggest a threat to national security.

May the proposal contained herein receive your consideration and this Headquarters be advised accordingly.

109
Yours sincerely,

~~CONFIDENTIAL - EYES ONLY~~

PREPARED BY THE

DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS

Reference: A. Supporting Data Attached.

INTRODUCTION

1. Since 1966, the Director of Operations has been tasked with the responsibility for dealing with Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs) reports which are referred to GPHQ. It has become evident that the Directorate of Operations has neither sufficient staff nor the necessary scientific assistance available to carry out an objective and scientific evaluation on UFO reports.

2. As the public is obviously becoming more conscious of UFOs, and in view of the increasing number of UFO reports being referred to GPHQ for action, it is suggested that the present policy for handling UFO reports be reviewed with the aim of determining a more objective and effective procedure for dealing with these reports.

AIM

3. The aim of this memorandum is to review briefly the present procedure for actioning UFO reports referred to GPHQ and to suggest a more effective method for dealing with UFO reports.

GPHQ ACTION ON UFO REPORTS

4. In brief, the Director of Operations' staff endeavours to classify UFO reports into one of two main categories, namely:

- a. Category One - Information which would suggest the type of phenomena associated with fireballs and meteorites, or
- b. Category Two - Information that does not conform to the physical patterns usually associated with fireballs or meteorite activity.

5. Reports which are classified under category one are forwarded to the Director for Scientific Co-ordination for evaluation. In addition, copies of the report are made available to NRG Meteorite Centre and to a named representative of the province in which the sighting was made.

6. Reports which are classified under category two are studied by the Operations Staff who either place the report on the UFO file with an annotation to the effect that further investigation is not warranted, or initiate action to have the report investigated by a military officer. To date, no evidence has been discovered which would suggest a threat to national security. The US authorities

reached a similar conclusion i.e. that there is absolutely NO evidence indicating a threat to national security. This being the case, it is suggested that DND should NOT be the agency primarily responsible for the receipt and investigations of UFO reports.

7. It is emphasized that no procedure exists at this time to assess any possible scientific information which might be obtainable from these reports. It should be noted that in the United States a civilian oriented scientific investigation has in fact been instituted on the University of Colorado. In Canada, the National Research Council would appear to be the body most suited to organize such an investigation.

RECOMMENDATION

8. In view of the above, it is recommended that the National Research Council be named to co-ordinate, on behalf of the Government of Canada, investigations into reports of UFOs.

|||

SUPPORTING DATA

FOR PAPER ON

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

INTRODUCTION

1. The Department of National Defense receives, through many sources, many UFO reports each year on unusual aerial sightings which the observer is unable to identify or explain.
2. In accordance with instructions contained in CFAO 71-1, Fireball and Meteorite Observation; and CFAO 71-6, UFO Reports; the Director of Operations is tasked with the responsibility of reviewing these reports and, as warranted, initiating action to have the reports formally investigated.
3. The present method for actioning these reports is considered unsatisfactory as the Operations Staff are neither qualified to differentiate between fireballs, meteorites or UFOs, nor are trained investigators available to evaluate such reports. In addition, there is no known scientific body tasked with the responsibility for assisting the Operations Staff to arrive at acceptable conclusions.

BACKGROUND ON UFOs

4. Reports on unusual aerial sightings are not new to this generation, unusual aerial sightings having been reported throughout history. It would appear that the number of sightings increase in the Spring, die away, only to develop a second peak during the Summer months.
5. In the early 1950s scientific committees were set up in both Canada and the United States, under defence auspices, to investigate UFO reports. Following several years of study, both committees were able to explain all but a very small percentage of the many thousands of sighting reports made. The American scientific committee concluded its investigation by stating, "that the evidence presented on UFOs shows no indication that these phenomena constitute a direct physical threat to national security" and recommended, "that the national security agencies take immediate steps to strip the UFOs of the special status they have been given and the aura of mystery they have unfortunately acquired". Although not formally stated, the Canadian Scientific Committee arrived at the same conclusions. Since that time UFO reports referred to DND have been studied by interested staffs and, as warranted, investigations have been made.

6. Approximately seven years ago the National Research Council established a scientific committee, on which DND is represented, to co-ordinate Canadian activity on the study of optical effect in the upper atmosphere, such as fireballs and meteorites. This committee receives reports on fireballs and meteorites through a number of agencies such as DND, RCMP, DOT, etc. It is to be noted that this scientific committee is primarily interested in optical observations which can be classified as fireballs and meteorites and are not engaged in the scientific study of other unusual aerial sightings (UFOs).

7. Unusual aerial sightings referred to DND for action have followed a varied career; at one time, Air Defense Command was the military agency most concerned with UFO reports. Prior to the Spring of 1966 the Director of Intelligence co-ordinated DND action on UFO reports. Correspondence held on the DND UFO file indicates that Dr. J.C. Arnell, then Scientific Deputy Chief of Technical Services, was an active participant in actioning UFO reports. In the Spring of 1966 the responsibility for actioning unusual aerial sightings was transferred to the Director of Operations.

USAF PARTICIPATION ON UFOs

8. In 1948 the USAF established the "Blue Book" program for the purpose of investigating UFOs. The objectives of the program are to determine whether UFOs pose a threat to the security of the US and to determine whether UFOs exhibit any unique scientific information or advanced technology which could contribute to scientific or technical research. The program centre is established at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. Each sighting report referred to the USAF is objectively and scientifically analysed, and, if necessary, all of the scientific facilities available to the USAF can be used to assist in arriving at an identification or explanation. The Office of Information of the Office of the Secretary of the AF is used to disseminate information concerning UFO sightings, evaluations and statistics. Recently, and probably due to the increasing concern of the general public, the USAF has selected the University of Colorado, under the direction of Dr. E.O. Condon, physics professor, to conduct an independent investigation into UFO reports. Under this research agreement, Dr. Condon expects to call on the services of more than 100 scientists around the country to assist in this research study. A full report is expected to be delivered to the USAF in 1968.

DISSEMINATION ON UFOs

9. A number of private citizens, many of whom are exceptionally well qualified, are either carrying out personal and independent research studies on UFOs; or have joined organizations which have been established for the purpose of investigating UFOs. Such organizations

as the Canadian Aerial Phenomena Investigations Committee and the Aerial Phenomena Research Organization, claim membership into the thousands.

10. Several universities, such as the University of Colorado and the University of Arizona, have shown a marked interest in UFOs and are presently conducting investigations into this field.

11. The civilian organization, the Canadian Aerial Phenomena Investigations Committee, Scarborough, Ontario, has recently made representation to DND for financial assistance in order to increase the effectiveness of their organization as a public service. It is to be anticipated that other private citizens or organizations will make representation to DND for either financial and/or administrative assistance for UFO research and investigation purposes.

OFFICE OF THE
VICE CHIEF OF THE DEFENCE STAFF



CANADA

CABINET DU
VICE CHEF DE L'ÉTAT-MAJOR DE LA DÉFENSE

MEMORANDUM

NOTE

*(Copy attached) for related correspondence
See also: file 711*

V 1540-1050 ED 7165 (SAC VCDS)

11 September, 1967

DGops

CANADIAN ARMED FORCES INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE

Reference: A. V 1540-1050 ED 7165 (DGops) of 10 July, 1967.

1. VCDS would like you to prepare the following memoranda:
 - a. from MND to Mr. Drury requesting the MRC undertake the responsibility for any scientific investigations of UFOs that may be required, and
 - b. from CDS to MND explaining the reasons for this and attaching supporting data.
2. Please staff memoranda through SA/VCDS.

Encl.

Historical Inquiry
Folmer Co. 331
(7/10/67)

Samson
J.E. Samson
Commander
SAC VCDS
2-3104

115

MEMORANDUM

V 1540-1050 TD 7165 (SA/VCDS)

7 September, 1967

VCDS

RESPONSIBILITY FOR UFO INVESTIGATIONS

References: A. V 1540-1050 TD 7165 (Dops) of 10 July 1967

B. V 1540-1050 TD 7165 (SA/VCDS) of 26 July 1967

C. Letter from President, NRC, dated August 28, 1967

1. I have had discussions with various people at NRC about the possibility of them assuming responsibility ~~of~~ ^{for} UFO investigations. One of them subsequently became the new President, and his reply at Reference C sums up their position.

2. I still do not believe that this is a matter that needs to go to Defence Council but suggest that you ask the Minister to write to Mr. Drury requesting NRC to undertake responsibility for any scientific investigations of UFOs that may be required. This will not amount to any extensive or costly activity, but it would be better to have a civil agency designated as the authority and as the official contact for journalistic and other inquiries. Canadian Forces would still have to assist in field investigations near their bases and would probably be represented on a committee which would likely be formed by NRC, if they undertake this responsibility.

H. Sheffer
H. Sheffer
SA/VCDS

*inter MUD → Mr Drury
Mime circ → MUD explaining
why and outline supporting data*

116



CABLE ADDRESS
ADRESSE TELEGRAPHIQUE
PLEASE QUOTE FILE NO
NO DE DOSSIER A RAPPELER

5142D
RESEARCH

NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL OF CANADA
CONSEIL NATIONAL DE RECHERCHES DU CANADA

OTTAWA 7 August 28, 1967

Dr. H. Sheffer,
Scientific Assistant to the
Vice Chief of the Defence Staff,
Department of National Defence,
Ottawa 4, Canada

Dear Harry,

Thank you very much for your kind
congratulations on my appointment. These good wishes
are very much appreciated.

On the question of UFO's, we had a
preliminary discussion here on this matter and it was
decided we would not proceed further unless we had a
request from your Minister addressed to ours. So I
believe this would have to be the next step.

However, after having gone through the
exercise of fitting ourselves to our projected budget,
which is rather sad, we are at the moment very chary about
taking on anything that might involve any expenditure of
funds.

Thank you again for your good wishes.

Sincerely,

Bill 117

W. G. Schneider

WGS/amd

MEMORANDUM

V 1540-1050 TD 7165 (SA/VCDS)

26 July 1967

~~SEC~~ VCDS

UFOs - COMMENTS ON PAPER FOR DEFENCE COUNCIL

1. I agree that it would be a good idea to transfer responsibility for investigation of UFOs to the National Research Council if they are willing to undertake this responsibility. One of the reasons given by DOps for wishing to relinquish responsibility is lack of sufficient staff and necessary scientific assistance. NRC might well have the same objections. It is, after all, not an attractive field for investigation and there are very few scientists who will eagerly undertake the required studies. I therefore feel that before wasting the time of Defence Council, the attitude of NRC towards acceptance of such a responsibility should be explored.

2. In the covering letter prepared by DOps, I do not believe that there is a sound argument in the second sentence of Para 2. The opposite could be argued, i.e. that the public regards investigation of these phenomena as a natural routine function of DND and in fact, might well consider it a definite DND responsibility in case a threat to National Security might eventually be uncovered. If the NRC were to undertake scientific responsibility, it is highly likely that they would ask the RCMP and DND to continue responsibility for local investigations since NRC would certainly not have the staff for that purpose. Thus, local Canadian Force Units would undoubtedly continue to be called upon for this purpose.

3. I am attaching a copy of a letter from Dr. Rettie of NRC dealing with international interest in this subject and concerning a meeting which he will be calling in August and which I will be attending.

4. Is it desired that I explore informally NRC interest in undertaking responsibility for investigation of UFOs or should this be done more formally by ministerial letter?

SA/VCDS
Informally
first go
about
Jm
Mr. SHARP
As Mr. McLeod
VCDS

JUL 28 1967

H. Sheffer
SA/VCDS

118

Attach.

MEMORANDUM

V 1540-1050 TD 7165 (Dops)

10 July, 1967.


TO: SEC DS (through Brig Gen)

MINISTERIAL INQUIRY

CANADIAN AERIAL PHENOMENA INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE

1. On 9 Jun 67, (2) wrote to the Associate Minister of National Defence requesting financial assistance for the committee. An interim reply was given on 19 Jun 67.
2. This matter has been discussed with Brig Doucet, EA to the AMND, who feels that no further reply is required at this time. It is felt also that DND should NOT be put in the position of appearing to be responsible for this subject, thus linking these phenomena, in the public mind, with some sort of threat to the national security. Brig Doucet suggested that a paper be prepared for submission to Defence Council recommending a transfer of responsibility from DND to the National Research Council.
3. A paper is attached for your consideration.

124


M.H.A. Parker
Colonel
Director Operations

MEMORANDUM

V 1540-1050 TD 7165 (Dops)

10 July, 1967.


TO: SEC DS (through Brig Gen)

MINISTERIAL INQUIRY

CANADIAN AERIAL PHENOMENA INVESTIGATIONS COMMITTEE

1. On 9 Jun 67, (2) wrote to the Associate Minister of National Defence requesting financial assistance for the committee. An interim reply was given on 19 Jun 67.
2. This matter has been discussed with Brig Doucet, EA to the AMND, who feels that no further reply is required at this time. It is felt also that DND should NOT be put in the position of appearing to be responsible for this subject, thus linking these phenomena, in the public mind, with some sort of threat to the national security. Brig Doucet suggested that a paper be prepared for submission to Defence Council recommending a transfer of responsibility from DND to the National Research Council.
3. A paper is attached for your consideration.

124


M.H.A. Parker
Colonel
Director Operations

DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL DEFENCE

MINUTE SHEET

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

FILE NUMBER

V 1540-1050 to 7165(DDPs)

DATED

10 July 67

REFERENCE

Memorandum Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs)

REFERRED TO

REMARKS

(To be signed in full showing Appointment, Telephone Number and Date)

SEC DS

1. At flyleaf find a memorandum to Defence Council from the Directorate of Operations on the subject of Unidentified Flying Objects (UFO's).

2. Please note, attached, extracts from House of Commons Debates and copies of CFAO 71-1 and CFAO 71-6 on this subject which are pertinent.

20 July 67

M. F. Whiting
M. F. WhitingMajor
DSECDs(MI)

122

1. The following statements concerning Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs) were made in the House of Commons by the Prime Minister, the Associate Minister of National Defence and the Solicitor General on the dates shown.

- a. Extract from H of C debates dated 6 Apr 66, page 3949
- b. Extract from H of C debates dated 21 Apr 66, page 4149
- c. Extract from H of C debates dated 6 Jun 66, page 5981.

1 23

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS
FURTHER REQUEST FOR INVESTIGATION
INTO REPORTS

On the orders of the day:

Mr. T. C. Douglas (Burnaby-Coquitlam):

Mr. Speaker, may I direct a question to the Prime Minister. In view of widespread concern over press reports regarding unidentified flying objects, and in order that these reports may not lead to unfounded speculation, I want to ask the Prime Minister if this matter is being investigated by any department of government. If so, may I ask what department has been assigned this responsibility.

Right Hon. L. B. Pearson (Prime Minister):

Mr. Speaker, I am aware of these reports. Indeed, I had a telegram a few hours ago concerning another report from western Canada. These matters are being investigated by the Department of National Defence.

Mr. Eric Nielsen (Yukon): It is the soaring cost of living.

Mr. Douglas: A supplementary question, Mr. Speaker. May I ask the Prime Minister if the Department of National Defence proposes to make any public statement following the investigation?

Mr. Pearson: I would hope so. When the investigation is completed, I hope the statement will be a reassuring one.

Mr. William Dean Howe (Hamilton South): A supplementary question, Mr. Speaker. May I ask the Prime Minister, in the absence of the Minister of National Defence, whether investigating these possible unidentified flying objects the protection of Canadians could not be taken into consideration, to protect them from possible radiation burns such as are apparently suffered by a boy in my riding?

Mr. Pearson: I am sure all objects of the problem will be investigated. My non-friend may recall, in respect of rumours and stories that unidentified heavenly objects had been sighted over Michigan a week or so ago, that the reports showed that these stories were greatly exaggerated.

24

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS—REQUEST FOR
INVESTIGATION INTO REPORTS

Mr. William Dean Howe (Hamilton South):
Mr. Speaker, shortly before the Easter recess, I raised the question of investigation into the recent sightings of unidentified flying objects in Canada.

Unidentified flying objects have been reported for centuries, from every part of the world, but only after the second war was serious investigation begun. The United States Air Force has conducted such investigations since 1947, with an attitude ranging from objective, careful study to desperate efforts to prove that U.F.O.'s do not exist.

A great many objects have been reported to the U.S. group. Several can be put down to crackpots or hoaxes, and most have been explained as aircraft, balloons, clouds and lights on clouds, stars and planets, and other naturally occurring phenomena. However, as of this month, 646 sightings remain unidentified. This is a relatively small percentage of the total reports, but still too many to be ignored. It should be remembered that the vast resources of United States government and military agencies have been brought to bear in trying to solve these cases.

A great many of the U.S. reports have come from military and civilian pilots, airport control tower personnel, radar operators, meteorologists and astronomers, all thoroughly trained to watch the sky and to know what they see there.

In several cases, an object has been observed simultaneously by radar operators, ground observers, and jet interceptor pilots. Unless we want to assume that each one of them was having the same hallucination at the same time, these sightings must remain unexplained in terms of natural phenomena.

There have been reports of U.F.O.'s in Canada for years, as there have been in every country. It has been, and still is, customary to subject the reporters of these objects to every sort of ridicule and humiliation. However, I believe that it is long past the point when we can safely assume that every one of these people is drunk, neurotic, or just lying. Most reports come from people of good reputation, whose testimony would be

accepted without question under any other circumstances.

• (10:20 p.m.)

I wish to make clear that I do not support either side of the U.F.O. issue. There is not enough evidence to conclude that flying saucers exist, but there is too much unexplained evidence to ignore. It is my contention that the Canadian government should direct an appropriate department to conduct a continuing investigation into all reports. I believe a climate should be created in which Canadians can report what they see without fear of ridicule. The conclusions of these investigations should be published regularly. Most sightings can be shown to be naturally caused, but I suspect that even proof of visits from outer space would be more reassuring to the public than Canada's present attitude of ignoring them in hopes that they will go away.

I would like to know, Mr. Speaker, what investigations Canada is now conducting, and what the government's intentions are.

Hon. Leo Cadieux (Associate Minister of National Defence): I cannot answer directly the question which was posed at the end of the hon. member's short speech. I do not personally think there is a co-ordinated effort being made now, but I believe several departments of government are interested in the subject matter referred to by the hon. member.

Speaking for the Department of National Defence, I am sure that our Defence Research Board will be interested in his remarks. It seems to me the National Research Council will also be interested and, to a lesser degree, the Department of Transport. I will do my utmost to have initiated the inquiry requested by the hon. member, and proper consultation developed between the departments concerned. I appreciate the suggestion that regular reporting on these sightings should be made. I will make it a point, at least as far as the Defence Research Board is concerned, to initiate an investigation and I trust this will have the results for which the hon. member is hoping.

AGRICULTURE—CREAM—REQUEST FOR

125

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS—
REPORTS TO R.C.M.P.

Question No. 1,342—Mr. Howe (Hamilton South):

1. Does the R.C.M.P. accept reports of unidentified flying objects and, if so, to which government department are these directed?
2. Are such reports investigated and, if so, by which government department or departments?

Hon. L. T. Pennell (Solicitor General):

1. Yes. If the object is suspected to be a meteor or fireball it is reported to the Department of Mines and Technical Surveys. If it is not suspected to be a meteor or fireball it is reported to the Department of National Defence.

2. Yes. Such reports are investigated by the R.C.M. Police and referred to the Department of Mines and Technical Surveys or the Department of National Defence for whatever further action those departments may deem necessary.

126

REPORTING OF FIREBALL AND METEORITE OBSERVATIONS

GENERAL

1. The National Research Council Associate Committee on Meteorites co-ordinates reports of sightings of falling meteors and periodically launches a publicity campaign to enlighten the Canadian public. All members of the Canadian Forces located in North America can assist this activity by reporting any such sightings.

DESCRIPTION

2. A fireball is a bright meteor with a luminosity which equals or exceeds that of the brightest planet. It is usually seen moving rapidly across the sky and sometimes a trail of glowing particles is left behind. The meteor may explode with a burst of light and a loud sound; this may happen several times during a single fall.

REPORTING

3. When a sufficient number of fireball observations are obtained over an area 100 miles or more, it becomes possible to combine the observations and predict the most probable area in which meteorites associated with the fireball may have reached the surface of the earth. Since freshly fallen meteorites are of considerably more interest than old falls, sightings should be reported as soon as possible.

4. Unclassified priority messages shall be addressed to CANFORCEHED with information copy to the addressee indicated in para 5. The first words in the text shall be "FOR CFAO, FIREBALL AND METEORITE OBSERVATIONS". All reports shall include as much of the following information as is relevant, using the identifying letter indicated:

- A Date and time of sighting (GMT shall be used).
- B Condition of sky (clear, cloudy, haze, etc.).
- C Location of observer (either precise position on ground or geographical reference).
- D Occurrence of bursts (number and approximate positions along path).
- E Luminosity (brightness compared to planets or moon and occurrence of shadows cast by nearby objects, if any).
- F Colour (distinguish between colour of fireball and any persistent train or trail after passage of fireball).
- G Form (size in relation to moon and shape).
- H Duration (both of fireball in motion and persistent train or trail in the sky).
- J Sounds (description of sound and time interval between sighting the fireball and hearing the sounds).
- K Position in sky (positions of beginning and end of fireball path, both azimuth and elevation).
- L Any other unusual observations.

AL 25/66

127

In addition to the report to Canadian Forces Headquarters an information copy shall be transmitted by routine precedence to the regional representative in the area of the sighting. The regional addresses are as follows:

Maritimes	Dr. R.F. Cormier St. Francis Xavier University Antigonish, N.S.
Quebec	Mr. Wm. A. Warren 30 52nd Avenue Lachine, P.Q.
Ontario	Royal Astronomical Society of Canada 252 College Street Toronto 2B, Ont. Meteor Centre National Research Council Ottawa 2, Ont.
Manitoba	Prof. E. Leith University of Manitoba Winnipeg, Man.
Saskatchewan	Mr. John V. Hodges 1554 Elphinstone Street Regina, Sask.
Alberta	Prof. R.E. Folinsbee University of Alberta Edmonton, Alta.
British Columbia	Dr. W.F. Slawson University of British Columbia Vancouver, B.C.

PUBLICATION

6. This order shall be reproduced yearly in unit orders. Commanding officers shall ensure that duty officers are provided with details of this order for ready reference to facilitate accurate reports after normal working hours.

(C)

S 1605-71-1
L 1605-00 (SCDCTS)

Issued 24 Jun 66 (Supersedes CFAO 71-1 issued 13 May 66)

Indexing

Fireballs
Meteorites
Reports & Returns

28

REPORTING OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

GENERAL

1. Reports of unidentified flying objects (UFOs) are frequently received at Canadian Forces bases from various sources. CFHQ is responsible for processing any action required on these reports. Accordingly, UFO reports shall be transmitted to CFHQ in accordance with para 2.

REPORTING

2. Unclassified priority messages shall be addressed to CANFORGEHD and the first words in the text shall be "FOR CFOC. UFO REPORT". All reports shall include as much of the following information as is obtainable, using the identifying letter indicated:

- A Date and time of sighting (GMT).
- B Condition of sky (clear, cloudy, haze, etc).
- C Identification of observer.
- D Location of observer at time of sighting.
- E Identification of other persons also observing the UFO.
- F Description of sighting (shape, colour, altitude, movement, number of UFOs, etc).
- G Duration of observation.
- H Any other relevant information.

3. Fireball and meteorite observations shall be reported in accordance with with CFAO 71-1

(C)

S 1605-71-6
V 2000-4 (DOPS)

Issued 7 Oct 66

Indexing
Unidentified Flying Objects
Reports & Returns

129

OFFICE OF THE ASSOCIATE MINISTER
NATIONAL DEFENCE

MEMORANDUM

MIN. FILE 146-11

H. Q. FILE

Ottawa, June 19th, 1967.

Director of Operations (thru Secretary, Defence Staff)

We spoke. Herewith further correspondence with
Canadian Aerial Phenomena Investigations Committee.

H.E.T. Doucet
H.E.T. Doucet,
Brigadier,
Executive Assistant.

Letter on June 25
Re: Inquiry 67/1094

ther to Inquiry 67/1094
folder No 331

130

O t t a w a h ,
June 19th, 1967.

②

Thank you for your further letter of
June 15th. As previously advised, your
representations are being investigated.

I will inform you of this Department's
decision as soon as possible.

Yours sincerely,

Lee Cadieux.

131

HETD-RG

②

June 15th, 1967.

Mr. Leo Cadieux,
Associate Minister of National Defense,
Department of National Defense,
Ottawa, Ontario.

Dear Mr. Cadieux:



I wish to thank you for your kind reply to my letter of June 9th, this year. I sincerely hope your interest is of a serious nature in regard to our request.

We have worked out a budget which, of course, is greatly over the amount we feel is actually necessary. If the Government decides to take action on our proposal, this budget will be radically cut. All of the necessary equipment listed is new equipment. Naturally, we will not need new equipment as used equipment will suffice. Our printing costs are only a rough estimate, for if we become a Government agency, we will probably be allowed to use the Queen's Printer and the costs will be lower. The printing costs include the publication, stationery, various types of press releases, the necessary sighting report forms, etc. ② salary, the Director who will be in charge of this function, has been kept fairly within reduced limits. We will need a secretary full time, the salary is the normal one paid for that job. We shall need an accountant and book-keeper; I will leave this up to the Government as I am certain they will want to frequently want to examine our books to be sure we are keeping within the allotted budget.

Again, I feel I must stress that if we are allotted a Government subsidy, we shall do the best job possible for the Government and our country. We feel this is a most important subject and one which should be investigated by our Government. Many questions about unidentified aerial phenomena go unanswered each year and with an agency to thoroughly investigate all sightings, we can solve many of these questions.

Thank you again for your interest in this problem and I am certain that if the Canadian Government grants (2) a subsidy, it will be money well spent.

Sincerely yours,

②

②

JUN 16 1964

②

132

Ottawa 4, Ontario,
June 13, 1967.

(2)

Dear (2)

I have for acknowledgment your letter of June 9, 1967, addressed to the Honourable Paul Hellyer, Minister of National Defence, setting out the aims of your Committee in relation to the Department of National Defence.

I will be discussing this matter with the appropriate officials and I will advise you further as soon as possible.

Yours sincerely,

135

Leo Cadieux.

PF

DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL DEFENCE
ASSOCIATE MINISTER'S OFFICE

Memorandum

June 13, 1967

146-11

TO SECRETARY
DEFENCE STAFF

FROM: EXEC ASST

ACTION REQUIRED: Hereto attached is copy of a letter and enclosures from

(2)

Setting out the aims and objectives of the Committee in relation to the Department of National Defence. Copy of acknowledgment is attached. Would you please prepare a reply for the Associate Minister's signature, to be available not later than June 27.

H.E.T. Doucet
Brigadier

Executive Assistant

H.E.T. Doucet

136